

**Stockton AB 617 CSC Meeting - Chat Transcript**  
**June 2, 2021 5:00-7:00 p.m.**

00:33:29 CSC-Gov-Jeff Wingfield: Hi Ed, thanks!

00:39:25 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: Thank you Ed.

00:44:17 CSC-Gov-Jeff Wingfield: Hi all, let me apologize in advance as I do have to leave a little early this evening. I'm supposed to be on vacation but we came home one day early from camping and I jumped on a few work meetings/items but I need to honor my family commitment. If there is anything specifically for me if you could bring it up early in the meeting it would be greatly appreciated, otherwise we could connect soon. Thanks Jeff

00:59:44 R-V-Nate Knodt: I remember driving to LA in the 1960's and coming over the Grapevine Summit and not seeing LA, but a large brown haze of gigantic proportions. Then having coughing attacks getting out of the car and when swimming.

01:04:17 CSC-Gov-Jeff Wingfield: Nate, it was so bad there for a long time and although it's still not optimal, the change from the 70's to now is amazing and shows what we can do when we put our minds and efforts to a goal!

01:06:14 R-V-Nate Knodt: I agree very much with transparency in writing before major discussions and votes and with any financial conflict of interest.

01:06:49 R-V-Nate Knodt: I am OK with 50 % + 1 Votes for approval when the votes are made clearly in a timely fashion.

01:19:50 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: With regards to agenda setting or topics, perhaps the co-leads can be made available to listen and put forth community member concerns.

01:21:09 CSC-V-Catherine Garoupa White: Voting. All actions of the Committee shall be adopted by an affirmative vote of a majority of the Committee members present and eligible to vote, provided that at least a quorum of Committee members are present and eligible to vote. Any act of the Committee shall be accomplished by a roll call vote when such a vote is requested by any member in attendance."

Quorum. A majority of current members of the Committee not on a leave of absence shall constitute a quorum. Vacant seats shall not count as "current members.

01:21:34 CSC-V-Mariah Looney (she/her): I agree that a threshold is necessary to utilize that 50%+1

01:22:01 R-V-Gloria Alonso she/her: I agree the threshold as well

01:24:35 CSC-V-Catherine Garoupa White: To give credit where credit is due, the above language is from the Imperial Valley charter: [https://c1b3e492-1448-4e62-b7f8-7aaf61550a90.filesusr.com/ugd/99eb03\\_645f259f6bb44a4f81bedd12dfc98ce6.pdf](https://c1b3e492-1448-4e62-b7f8-7aaf61550a90.filesusr.com/ugd/99eb03_645f259f6bb44a4f81bedd12dfc98ce6.pdf)

01:25:50 R-V-Taylor Williams: Agree with the threshold, too.

01:31:49 Jessica Olsen - Valley Air District: the Integrated Science Assessment that Deldi referenced: <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/isa/recordisplay.cfm?deid=347534>

01:36:40 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: Perhaps we need a health evaluation subcommittee?

01:36:58 CSC-V-Jonathan Pruitt (Catholic Charities): I think it would take a longitudinal study that has many folks to the table like public health, a hospital, medical students, etc

01:37:43 R-V-Nate Knodt: I agree with Ned on linking cost effectiveness of pollution reduction to health outcomes such as asthma in youth.

01:40:39 Jessica Olsen - Valley Air District: Prioritization Results: <https://freeonlinesurveys.com/r/tVXVn2xi>

01:41:34 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: How many steering committee members responded?

01:42:10 Heather Heinks - AD: Latest count - 12

01:42:29 CSC-V-Catherine Garoupa White (they/she): What's the total number of voting CSC members?

01:42:48 R-V-Margo Praus: please make larger on screen

01:44:15 R-V-Ned Leiba: We don't need to debate health effects, but asthma is very important, very severe and we should focus on that problem in Boggs Tract and South Stockton. From my presentation to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee. PM2.5 was at 60-80 mcg per m3, today 18 mcg

In the case of the San Joaquin Valley, the past costs of subsidies and compliance was billions of dollars. To achieve another 6 microgram (18 down to 12 mcg) reduction in ambient PM 2.5, costs were estimated at well over \$5 billion.

It would be simple to have a Pfizer type study, 44k subjects, double blind, randomized, human or animal to demonstrate significant adverse effects from exposure to 18 mcg vs 12 mcg per m3. Those studies do not exist. The ones that do show no effect.

01:46:29 Ryan Hayashi -AD: @Catherine: We have 39 non-government members

01:50:06 CSC-V-Jonathan Pruitt (Catholic Charities): Could that be included as a description for that survey? What the number closer to one means etc.

01:55:06 R-V-Douglas Vigil He-His: Please speak English Please ???

01:55:25 CSC-V-Jonathan Pruitt (Catholic Charities): When you mean by CARB, is it the Board of CARB or CARB staff?

01:56:17 Skott Wall-CARB: @Jonathan - if you mean approval of the project plans, that is CARB staff in our incentives group - not the Board.

01:56:18 Deldi Reyes - CARB: Jonathan, it is CARB staff (not the Board) that reviews project plans.

01:56:26 CSC-V-Ed Ward: Why does CARB need 60 days to complete approval?

01:56:39 R-V-Ned Leiba: Todd says: with truck reroutes we cannot quantify benefits? Not true. Measure change in exposure to our targeted at risk persons such as children. Use monitors to see the change over time and I would say health changes. Exposure, at least, is a key metric.

01:57:19 CSC-V-Jonathan Pruitt (Catholic Charities): Thank you for the clarification

01:57:53 CSC-V-Matt Holmes (he/him/his): You'll recall Ned, that we were unable to get low cost remote pm sensors included in our CAMP so the 617 process can't

01:58:08 CSC-V-Matt Holmes (he/him/his): address the important public health consideration you just shared.

02:01:50 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: The electric school bus project scored very low on the prioritization survey. Schools in SUSD already have installed 13 Merv filters.

02:02:07 Heather Heinks - AD: @catherine Just wanted to give you an updated number, roster count is 36 NON-GOVT members

02:02:49 Kyle Goff - CARB: Hey Ed, I can help answer your question about the 60 day deadline -- 60 days is the outside maximum to be sure. We're cognizant of the need to move quickly on evaluating and approving plans, and in a lot of cases we expect to be able to move much faster than that. Basically 60 days allows us ample time to wrap our heads around particularly unusual or complicated kinds of projects.

02:02:55 CSC-V-Catherine Garoupa White (they/she): Thank you for the numbers, Ryan and Heather.

02:03:59 CSC-V-Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Aren't the School Buss issue and the School Filtration issue separate measures Mary Elizabeth?

02:05:05 CSC-V-Ed Ward: Kyle thank you for the explanation. Time is critical as you know we need to get to work to establish immediate support for the community concerns

02:06:20 Gil Rosas - SUSD: SUSD latest podcast supporting the city of Stockton and SUSD

02:06:28 Gil Rosas - SUSD: [https://urldefense.com/v3/https://www.ace-ed.org/equity-energy-managing-school-district-energy-usage-saving-money-for-the-classroom/;!!Dvu4N0KI8aW9!tgnm9W7d3exOUITUq96SiS6UdOKiRjFp8fwQDM4O-h1vNmJriSjglHrzVGzxi4oKg\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/https://www.ace-ed.org/equity-energy-managing-school-district-energy-usage-saving-money-for-the-classroom/;!!Dvu4N0KI8aW9!tgnm9W7d3exOUITUq96SiS6UdOKiRjFp8fwQDM4O-h1vNmJriSjglHrzVGzxi4oKg$)

02:06:56 CSC-Gov-Maria Mendez: Thank you Gil.

02:07:50 Kyle Goff - CARB: There's certainly more to it than that, but that's the overall gist. I should also caveat that with quite a few of the plans submitted by SJVAPCD so far, we've butted up against that 60 day deadline much closer than any of us would have liked -- but as we get our feet under

us and "catch our stride" so to speak, and as we continue to refine our admittedly rough-around-the-edges initial review processes, we should be able to hit the mark better in terms of moving quickly.

02:15:09 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: Many Many people wanted more money dedicated to workforce development to provide the infrastructure for electrification in transportation.

02:15:23 R-V-Ned Leiba: Ryan, where did our committee talk about a cost of \$363,389 per ton emission reduction for school buses. Where. Point out the date, the spot on the recorded meeting. I had to make that cost computation from the revised Table 6.1 just released. We did not have any detailed cost benefit discussion. We were promised, over and over again, cost health benefit analyses, or at least comparative exposures, that would allow comparisons. It is madness to go forward with Electric School Buses. For \$4m you could get 4000 tons reduction from ag burning measures vs 11 tons from electric buses.

02:15:35 CSC-V-Matt Holmes (he/him/his): ++++ Mary Elizabeth

02:15:41 R-V-Taylor Williams: Completely agree Mary Elizabeth

02:15:53 CSC-V-Catherine Garoupa White (they/she): Also agree re: more \$ to workforce development

02:18:30 CSC-V-Jonathan Pruitt (Catholic Charities): I wished we brought back the \$5 million that was originally held for Port measures and moved that to be for the workforce development

02:19:52 Ryan Hayashi -AD: @Ned Leiba: The information in the links are from the September and October CSC meetings. <http://community.valleyair.org/media/1880/stockton-strategies-v1.pdf> ; [http://community.valleyair.org/media/1998/stockton-cerp-measure-development-10\\_7\\_20.pdf](http://community.valleyair.org/media/1998/stockton-cerp-measure-development-10_7_20.pdf)

02:22:41 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: Thanks Margo.

02:22:49 Skott Wall-CARB: Options for CARB Outreach Meeting are Wednesday, June 16th, or Thursday, June 17th, or Monday, June 21st. If you'd prefer to email me instead of using the doodle poll that was emailed on Friday, 6/28, please send your preference by noon tomorrow (Thursday, 6/3) to [skott.wall@arb.ca.gov](mailto:skott.wall@arb.ca.gov) . Thank you!

02:24:10 R-V-Mary Elizabeth: good job Ed:)

02:24:45 CSC-V-Jonathan Pruitt (Catholic Charities): You have an amazing moustache Ed. Are you kidding?

02:25:29 CSC-V-Ed Ward: LOL

02:26:12 Regina R Griffin: lol

02:26:37 Gil Rosas - SUSD: good night everyone

02:26:48 Gil Rosas - SUSD: thanks Chay

02:26:51 Regina R Griffin: good night gil

02:27:00 Heather Heinks - AD: Thank you Gil!

02:27:01 R-V-Ned Leiba:

Ryan you are correct to an extent. My comment at that meeting: Cost effectiveness - tons of pollution. I assume you will have the details, e.g., the tons per year, specific toxic agent, emission or exposure. And most important, what are the specific health effects: what is the projected savings in years lives lost YLL. We need health effects if available.

02:28:05 Skott Wall-CARB: Sorry I didn't share this. . . 5-7pm

02:30:31 CSC-V-Catherine Garoupa White (they/she): Good night everyone and thanks for the continued hours invested in this process.

02:30:33 CSC-V-Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Thank You ED. Strong work tonigh.

02:30:41 CSC-V-Jonathan Pruitt (Catholic Charities): Thank you ILG for the meeting highlights! And thank you for the residents for being part of this process. Look forward to finalizing the charter

02:30:43 Regina R Griffin: nite all