

**Stockton AB 617 CSC Meeting - Chat Transcript**  
**March 9, 2021 5:00-7:00 p.m.**

00:26:29 Matt Holmes (he/him/his): sonar

00:30:22 V-R - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Thx Hannah

00:30:24 V-R - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): :-)

00:30:38 Kim Danko - ILG: @matt it was me! ;)

00:30:55 V-R - Mary Elizabeth: A shout out to the virtual electric car ride. I got good feedback. Way to shine the light Jonathan:)

00:33:34 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: Great to "see" everyone. I miss being in person but am excited for tonight!

00:35:27 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: If you are new in this steering committee meeting, please rename yourself with your affiliation. We want to know who everyone represents. This is for transparency. Thank you

00:52:37 Ector Olivares: Ector Olivares, Catholic Charities Environmental Justice program

00:53:30 Joe Carrillo - SSA Marine: Hi everyone, Joe Carrillo with SSA Marine and a tenant at the Port of Stockton

00:53:50 Deby Provost, Resident, Reclamation 828: Hello!

00:54:37 Skott Wall - CARB: I'd like to take credit - but that Port Emissions analysis and document was the work of Alejandra Cervantes, Charanya Varadarajan, and the brilliant staff in our Air Quality Planning and Science Division.

01:03:45 Heather Heinks - AD: [http://community.valleyair.org/media/2424/dd\\_-community-conditions-for-ab-617-pos-funding.pdf](http://community.valleyair.org/media/2424/dd_-community-conditions-for-ab-617-pos-funding.pdf)

01:06:09 Heather Heinks - AD: Link to the letter Ryan mentioned. It was posted for review, sorry, not sent by email.

01:23:41 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): What if we delay submittal based on a request form the port to maximize emissions reduction demand...instead of community literacy. Would the SJVAPCD see that delay differently?

01:24:13 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): To Deldi's point the Port can charge a fee for services that is totally independent form the 617 incentive

01:24:31 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): once we buy it...it is their's to govenr

01:33:52 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Just a note - Barbara has been in the queue for a long time and was told she would be after Douglas.

01:34:15 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Understandably this would be after Jeff and Karen, but I think that should be honored

01:34:46 Erica Manuel - ILG: Barbara is first on my list!

01:41:41 Karen Buckley - CARB: Thanks for letting me provide context.

01:43:07 CSC Alt -Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla - Restore the Delta: We need to meet in the middle Ryan -- we all work hard -- six weeks for good will is reasonable.

01:43:11 V-R - Nate Knodt: CERP is too important to delay!! Port issues are very complicated and should be handled separately. We delay CERP after this effort it will jeopardize Stockton's initiatives in many areas with the State, including Caltans, homelessness, and many other issues and agencies. CERP has many measures outside of Port that need to move forward! Too delay CERP will be seen as a failure of Stockton citizens.

01:43:45 CSC Alt -Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla - Restore the Delta: Six weeks will jeopardize our future?

01:44:05 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): All state programs are accommodating extension in light of pandemic. I'm sorry that's a straw dog. I want the port strategies. but if the timetable matters more than emission reductions. Let's discuss pivoting \$4mill to something else.

01:44:07 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: I say let's hold off on including the Port measures. Pass the CERP we have currently. Then during the process we get meetings with the Port of Stockton to get close to what was proposed. Then from there we can vote back into the Port measures. If it's the Port measures that are holding the whole CERP, we need to take it out and continue the momentum with the separate measures.

01:44:11 Robyn DeGuzman - GOV: It would be worth a try to at least let the Port commissioners respond to what members put forth.

01:44:38 V-CSC - Noehmi Jauregui - St. George School: I am in favor of creating a more complete CERP by waiting for the Port to respond. That is what is most fair to Jeff as well.

01:44:48 CSC Alt -Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla - Restore the Delta: Question for Jeff -- how quickly do you think this could be done

01:44:53 Jaime Holt - AD: Barbara, there is a clock that already began on spending the AB 617 funds. The legislation is really tough on the timelines,

01:44:56 V-R - Nate Knodt: Let us take the best of CERP and move forward. All Stockton agencies can provide oversight to Port through SJCOG, City Council, SJ Supervisors, Caltrans, etc. CERP is not end all to Port emission reductions!

01:45:13 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): 617 liquidation deadlines are not a reasonable excuse for leaving out the port

01:45:15 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): straw dog

01:45:24 V-CSC - Ed Ward: Agreed Jonathan

01:45:50 CSC Alt -Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla - Restore the Delta: Matt is correct -- we are not talking about months -- more like weeks

01:46:41 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): and we are talking about CARB protecting the promise of 617 when we are forging a new partnership with the Port of Stockton. CARB has nothing to say I guess?

01:46:48 CSC Alt -Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla - Restore the Delta: I am not talking about mistrust -- a good faith conversation has started --this is an opportunity

01:48:16 V-R - Nate Knodt: CERP Report without Port measures was approved on a 29-0 vote last meeting!

01:48:33 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: The thing is, how long will it take to get these CERPs on the CARB board after the District board passes on this?

01:49:01 V-CSC - Tina Lau, Lehigh Hanson: I like that idea, Mary Elizabeth

01:50:55 V-R - Ned Leiba: Port measure do promise significant emission reduction. I wish we could have a general statement, pledge of cooperation which seems to be in P1. I do not think it is wise to have such specific demands as per Dillon's proposal. Dillon and others have good suggestions, but probably not best to put it all in the CERP. Can we include Port measure P.1 P.2 P.3 P.4? Mary Elizabeth's suggestion is OK for me, but we need to follow up and have a dedicated subcommittee. That is key to me, a dedicated subcommittee, that can recommend in the future a change in selected items and allocations based on future information and developments.

01:51:04 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Delay injures no one.

01:51:19 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: Could we put aside \$5 million and continue this discussion with the Port and bring back the Port measures once we have something?

01:54:14 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Totally agree with you Taylor! Thank you for bringing that point up.

01:54:36 V-R - Nate Knodt: Delay can cost millions in funding that is here now but may never come our way again! It could be a lose lose. Stockton loses CERP funding and Port need not be involved or even encouraged to move forward.

01:55:28 V-CSC - Tina Lau, Lehigh Hanson: ++

01:55:48 Jose Saldana - CARB EJ Unit: justice delayed...

01:55:49 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: Jeff, can you please invite Richard next time

01:55:59 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Not True Nate. a 90 day extension hurts nothing. Straw dog. Would love to see CARB/SJVAPCD inflict a funding claw back with the fact pattern we have established.

01:56:11 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: We need the Port Director in this

01:56:12 CSC Alt - Eric Parfrey: Is someone going to make a motion to approve the language that is being proposed by Matt et al or not? Its important that this committee be on on record

01:58:03 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Agreed Jonathan!

01:58:06 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: Unfortunately Shannon, the EJ groups have still not been allowed to advise your strategy.

01:58:13 Shannon Arnold - Rebel Consulting: Get in direct touch with us here:  
<https://www.portofstockton.com/contact/>

01:58:41 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): The Port Commissioners don't read public comments, so we have no faith that contact with them will help.

01:59:21 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: Isn't that the truth. I submitted public comments in the last meeting that were barely recognized and definitely were not read...

01:59:43 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: PUENTES was mentioned and didn't even get the comments read out loud!!!

01:59:43 Shannon Arnold - Rebel Consulting: You certainly can Jonathan, we would love your input, please feel free to submit your feedback/ questions/ or topics you would like to see in a comment form and we will do our best to answer questions and share information to the greatest extent possible.

01:59:46 V-CSC - Noehmi Jauregui - St. George School: That is unfortunate Kenda! Especially considering you are such a close neighbor.

01:59:53 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: I know...

02:00:32 V-R - Taylor Williams: Not asking for perfection. I am asking for the conditions signed on by 50%+1 to be acknowledged

02:00:40 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): +++++

02:00:47 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: I hate to say it, but if we are dismissed, does anyone have a chance? That said, Jeff and others have been great to work with, but the commission and other directors do not have time outside of their own agenda

02:00:52 V-CSC - Noehmi Jauregui - St. George School: Yes Taylor.

02:00:56 V-R - Bianca Mendoza: agreed Taylor !

02:01:40 Shannon Arnold - Rebel Consulting: Kenda, have you heard about the tree plotter initiative we are implementing as a means to continue to amplify your efforts with the tree planting partnership with the Port?

02:02:21 V-R - Mary Elizabeth: Can you add another appendix with all public comments complied about the CERP drafts?

02:02:47 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: Yes Shannon. And I look forward to continuing our work, hopefully.

02:03:08 Jose Saldana - CARB EJ Unit: Seems like a CERPs greatness should be community's call; not govts

02:03:33 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: I don't support the bonnet. I don't support adopting a community engagement process that isn't co-created with the community.

02:05:22 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: Need to understand that the Port's CEC was coming into a top down approach. Needed to include the community orgs from the very start that this was an idea.

02:05:34 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: ++

02:06:15 Heather Heinks - AD: YouTube Comment from Laura Rosenberger Haider: Class 8 drayage trucks in the Ports of LA & Long Beach fueled by hydrogen made from renewable Green hydrogen are being tested.

02:06:23 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: I've been emphasizing this for awhile that the community groups need to be included in the planning meetings when planning this CEC.

02:06:50 Jeffrey Wingfield - Port of Stockton: Jonathan we took a first stab in response to the need and it was in no way close to final form. We will work with groups to develop the framework...

02:07:05 Shannon Arnold - Rebel Consulting: Excellent! Thank you Kenda. Also, to everyone interested in improving the relationship with the Port, I would echo Jeff's sentiment and say we are ready to listen and improve the relationships. That said, relationships work when communication flows in both directions. Please engage in dialogue with us so we can understand what your concerns are, what information you are looking for, and do our best to meet those needs. As our community engagement channel is not formally formed, we have created the community form on the website to serve as a stepping stone to include you. To your comment Jonathan, the goal is not to create a top-down strategy, we are asking you to participate via the website until we have another means to connect.

02:08:37 Robyn DeGuzman - GOV: Shannon, if you could help in making the Port Commissioners available in conversation at least once for this committee, it would be very much appreciated.

02:08:38 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: @Shannon, via the website? Why not through email?

02:08:43 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: Why not through zoom?

02:09:35 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): +++++

02:09:35 Shannon Arnold - Rebel Consulting: Hi Robyn, I'll work with Jeff to see if that is possible.

02:10:27 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Shannon. Thanks for engaging. The port is a public agency it has obligations. The community is not responsible for their communication shortcomings.

02:10:49 Jessica Olsen - AD: @ Mary Elizabeth. We will have a complete Comment Response Appendix in the final CERP published at the end of this week, In the email on Saturday, we had the first draft Comment Response document sent out. [http://community.valleyair.org/media/2407/summary-of-significant-comments-and-responses\\_stockton-cerp.pdf](http://community.valleyair.org/media/2407/summary-of-significant-comments-and-responses_stockton-cerp.pdf)

02:11:29 Jeffrey Wingfield - Port of Stockton: I will ask the Port Director if he could attend the next meeting!

02:12:10 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): The way that the Port Commissioners have conducted their business has only caused the public to have more distrust.

02:12:18 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Yes Dillon!

02:12:19 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Tone deaf. New scope of work for Rebel.

02:12:46 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: Eliminate the Top-down approach

02:13:38 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): We'll help draft the next scope...and select the contract. Just watch.

02:13:42 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Also - all Port Commissioners are rich business people. The Port Commission does not look like the Stockton community. They do not understand what the community goes through.

02:14:10 V-R - Bianca Mendoza: Yes Dillon Thank you!!

02:14:22 V-R - Mary Elizabeth: That is the doing of the Stockton City Council and San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors

02:14:45 Jeffrey Wingfield - Port of Stockton: Shannon is trying to help!

02:14:50 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Which proves an even bigger problem, Mary Elizabeth.

02:15:18 V-R - Vanessa Palomares: Thats right, it's always the same so we are hoping for change. It matters a lot to us.

02:15:29 CSC Alt - Eric Parfrey: Right on Dillon. Do the Port Commissioners even care about us? No, they never had to care.

02:15:37 V-R - Deby Provost: It needs to be brought up at the City Council and The BoS mtgs that they aren't appointing appropriate people to the Board at the PORT

02:15:47 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Sorry Jeff is falsely encumbered by a phone deadline....equally Sorry the 617 process is encumbered by the port's history. Equity isn't constrained by phony liquidation deadlines and servicing conveniences for unimpacted populations.

02:15:56 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): phony\*

02:16:00 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): ++++++

02:16:08 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Jeff we will still figure this out with you. NO Doubt

02:16:25 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: I don't even think people know that there is both a port liaison from the City and the Board of Supervisors. We aren't told about these things!! And when are elections for Port Commissioners?

02:16:28 V-R - Regina Reynolds: ++++

02:16:36 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): +++++Jonathan

02:17:37 V-R - Deby Provost: Jonathan and Matt!! You guys are the greatest!! Inform us all!

02:17:40 V-CSC- Mariah Looney (she/her): Jonathan, it's interesting because when RTD asked about Port Commissioner elections, we were shut out of the process. It was a county appointee. They had their minds made up before we ever had the chance to know about it.

02:17:45 V-R - Regina Reynolds: ++

02:17:58 V-R - Stacey Panyasee: Can we get a meeting with the Port of Commissioners?

02:18:22 V-R - Deby Provost: If we all go to the meeting what would happen?

02:18:37 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: They are closed to the public due to covid

02:18:59 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: or I would have been at the last one on behalf of PUENTES

02:19:22 Deldi Reyes - CARB: "The Port is governed by a seven-member Board of Commissioners; four commissioners are appointed by the City of Stockton and three commissioners appointed by San Joaquin County. The Board establishes policies under which the Port's staff, supervised by the Port Director, conducts its daily operations." <https://www.portofstockton.com/commission/>

02:19:27 V-CSC - Noehmi Jauregui - St. George School: I suppose the closed meetings are why the open comments are so important.

02:19:27 Shannon Arnold - Rebel Consulting: What topics would you like to discuss with the commissioners?

02:21:43 CSC Alt - Dillon Delvo: We came into this meeting wanting to fund the port. I do trust you Jeff, but not sure about the commissioners. Are you all even open for public involvement in choosing a new Port Director?

02:21:54 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): I'd like to know why there are no term limits for Port Commissioners also.

02:22:13 Jeffrey Wingfield - Port of Stockton: I think s, I will ask Dillon!

02:22:16 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: Agree Dillon

02:22:22 Jeffrey Wingfield - Port of Stockton: I think so

02:23:06 CSC Alt - Dillon Delvo: Finding a Port Director that has a track record of real community involvement would go a long way for our community.

02:23:07 V-R - Victoria Moreno: great point Dillon. Thank you for speak up on behalf our community

02:23:30 V-R - Mary Elizabeth: Can you put the language on the screen before we vote?

02:23:38 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): Agree with Mary Elizabeth

02:23:40 V-R - Taylor Williams: Yes ^^ Share the language please

02:23:46 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: Can we also clarify: If we don't include a generic measure, can't we still add additional measures later?

02:23:51 V-R - Margo Praus: can we write out the motion?

02:24:02 Kim Danko - ILG: yes vote = include generic port measure with CERP

02:24:10 Kim Danko - ILG: no = no port measure in CERP

02:25:08 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: So yes includes the CEC recommendation and this measure?

02:25:14 Kim Danko - ILG: yes

02:25:39 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: What are we voting on?

02:25:48 V-CSC - Tina Lau, Lehigh Hanson: the second component is confusing

02:26:10 V-CSC - Tina Lau, Lehigh Hanson: what is the other engagement measure language?

02:26:17 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Yeha need actual language on MOU

02:26:25 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: so does that mean they will get money without restriction?

02:27:01 V-R - Mary Elizabeth: it means the CSC will determine?

02:27:29 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): this language doesn't look much like the back and forth dialogue that has been missing from our process.

02:28:08 V-CSC - Jonathan Pruitt: Im confused ya'll

02:28:55 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): understandable....that's what gaslight is meant to do Jonathan

02:29:11 V-R - Regina Reynolds: can we vote on each as oppose to both at the same time

02:29:57 V-R - Regina Reynolds: no

02:30:09 V-R - Regina Reynolds: the generic

02:30:38 CSC Alt - Dillon Delvo: If the vote is no, can the community reallocate those funds to other measures?

02:31:33 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): I thought Ryan said we could reallocate

02:31:40 V-R - Stacey Panyasee: Why can't we decide on how we want to reallocate funds?

02:31:43 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): ILG really struggling to get tracking on its process here

02:32:00 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): ILG splashing at the surface

02:32:26 CSC Alt - Eric Parfrey: Thank you for the NO votes!

02:33:37 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): if a member isnt here, they should not get to vote.

02:33:47 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): there is only one alternate for one person.

02:34:37 CSC Alt - Dillon Delvo: Just wondering what's going on, I don't see Espi Vielma or Arlene Galindo on the call.

02:35:27 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: ???

02:35:48 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): Attendance & Votes should coincide one would think Mariah.

02:36:00 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: Shouldn't alternates vote when their name is called, not when the rep who isn't here is called?

02:36:05 V-CSC - Noehmi Jauregui - St. George School: Did someone vote twice?

02:36:13 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): This is not a fair process if people get a vote but do not attend. We have alternates for a reason, but only one person is allowed to be an alternate for one person.

02:37:27 V-R - Regina Reynolds: Is it only one alternate vote

02:37:48 V-R - Regina Reynolds: did someone vote twice

02:37:56 CSC Alt - Dillon Delvo: She also voted for Arlene Galindo.

02:38:07 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): Cynthia voted for Arlene also

02:38:16 Christal Lazard - CARB: It might be helpful to show the vote results on screen?

02:38:28 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): But is that fair?

02:38:35 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): We use spreadsheets with columns & rows

02:38:43 CSC Alt - Dillon Delvo: Ok, thanks for clarifying Cynthia.

02:39:42 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: Eperanza's alternate is someone named Rochelle?

02:42:20 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): how did we shoot down the TAG?

02:42:27 V-R - Taylor Williams: I think she meant the CEC

02:42:30 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): that was approved unanimously last week

02:42:34 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): OK great

02:42:39 V-R - Taylor Williams: Can we validate that though?

02:42:58 CSC Alt - Eric Parfrey: Congratulations--This sends a loud message to the Port. There WILL be an advisory committee created to oversee the Port, if not through this process then through legal settlements.

02:45:27 V-R - Ned Leiba: Looks good to me. Thanks.

02:45:46 V-R - Mary Elizabeth: ++++++

02:47:38 V-R - Deby Provost: I think it's a shame that we will have no interaction with the Port, and no influence more importantly, any longer!

02:48:52 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): We still have interaction with the port if they want to avoid Title VI administrative complaints against all federally funded projects. California and SJVAPCD just abdicated their role out there. The Community did not.

02:49:14 V-R - Nate Knodt: I support this language. It was added to provide more information as to the overall success and cost-effectiveness of pollution mitigation efforts in cleaning air as determined by data gathered and analyzed for future reference.

02:50:15 V-R - Regina Reynolds: +++ thanks Matt

02:50:23 V-R - Taylor Williams: Agreed Matt

02:50:24 V-R - Deby Provost: Its great



02:50:28 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): A formal voting role and a series of design meetings are necessary

02:50:49 V-CSC - Ed Ward: support

02:51:05 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): you should hold a vote

02:51:09 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): cover everyone

02:51:23 V-R - Regina Reynolds: lets vote

02:51:26 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): well the csc was gaslit

02:51:38 V-CSC - Catherine Garoupa White: My brain hurts. This is a lot to process and the meeting has already run over.

02:51:58 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): and the clock was run out on otherwise substanceless performances

02:53:08 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): good reason to not presume to be decisive if we are committed to any level of rigor

02:53:10 Kim Danko - ILG: yes vote approves the language as written

02:53:14 Kim Danko - ILG: no vote amends

02:53:46 V-CSC - Kenda Templeton: Can you provide the language again?

02:58:09 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): is 13 50%+1

02:58:15 V-CSC - Matt Holmes (he/him/his): 2+2=5

02:59:04 V-CSC - Silvia Cantu: Was my vote counted?

02:59:16 V-CSC - Mariah Looney (she/her): I saw that it was, Silvia

02:59:24 Kim Danko - ILG: @Silvia yes it was; you voted no

02:59:29 V-CSC - Silvia Cantu: Thanks!!!

02:59:54 Anthony Presto - AD: No more public comments from YouTube.

03:00:57 V-CSC - Noehmi Jauregui - St. George School: If we don't include the public comments from YouTube and Facebook, aren't we just as bad as the Port of Stockton Commissioner meetings?

03:02:39 V-R - Regina Reynolds: Jaime who is the cutie