

Meeting Highlights

(Refer to meeting audio to review the full details and comments from the meeting)

AB 617 Stockton Community Steering Committee Meeting #13a

January 14, 2021 | 5:00 pm - 7:00 pm (rescheduled from January 6, 2021)

Virtual Zoom Meeting

Action items for the Stockton Community Steering Committee (CSC):

- Volunteer to be a community co-host at a future meeting (post-CERP)

Action items for San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District):

- Send a Doodle Poll with possible dates and times for CAMP subcommittee to meet
- Determine the possibility of getting a future presentation on Carl Moyer, which funds a lot of infrastructure and heavy duty equipment
- Work with Port of Stockton to present emissions inventory data to the CSC

Welcome and Introductions

Erica Manuel, Facilitator & CEO, Institute for Local Government (ILG)

Ryan Hayashi, Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer, District

Irene Calimlim, Fathers & Families of San Joaquin, Community Co-host

Erica welcomed the Stockton CSC participants, introduced the ILG facilitation team and gave an overview of the agenda for the rescheduled CSC meeting

Ryan welcomed the CSC before introducing Irene

Community Co-host Remarks

Irene Calimlim, Community Co-host

Irene shared a presentation detailing the mission of Fathers and Families of San Joaquin. She highlighted the organization's focus on health justice and environmental justice in order to reverse environmental harm and restore healing. She also shared details about their *Greenlining the Hood* initiative, which raises awareness of environmental injustice in South Stockton neighborhoods.

Community Emission Reduction Program (CERP) Strategies

Erica Manuel, Facilitator & CEO, ILG

Erica presented a "CERP refresher" slide that recapped the purpose of the CERP and the usual content in the document. She also outlined where the CSC is in the CERP development process to date and the steps still to be completed. Presentation highlights included:

- The CERP is a dynamic and flexible document; it is a framework for the work the CSC is going to do
- Even after CERP consensus is achieved, the document can be modified during implementation

- The CERP includes emission reduction, exposure reduction and coordination measures
- The task of the CSC is to create a CERP document that will achieve emissions reductions through programs and activities that reflect community priorities
- The new deadline for the CSC to achieve consensus on the CERP is March
- The CERP that goes to the District Board in March is the framework for the work to be done during implementation (and it can be changed after being approved) and it should reflect the major priorities that need to be addressed during implementation

The CSC discussed the schedule for reviewing all CERP measures and determining which should be included. Then the CSC participated in a sorting exercise for the remaining CERP incentive measures. Discussion highlights included:

District Comment: Is there any chance the CSC wants to combine the bike share and car share program measures? The proposal we sent out that some partner agencies are looking at pairing those two things.

Question: I have never used car share or bike share. Is there data on the actual usage rates in the Valley?

District Response: There was a survey of residents in Sierra Vista and Conway Homes and an overwhelming percentage of people were interested. We have operated several of these programs in the District and they have been hugely successful.

Question: Does the District know anything about the COG's strategy for integrating those two things?

District Response: I know the COG has a program that is not as targeted as the Housing Authority's. It goes beyond the boundaries of AB 617.

Comment: There is no end in sight with COVID, so I don't know what that means with approving this initiative—the cost of sanitizing the vehicles and bikes between each use will be more expensive.

Comment: My priority is green areas. My concern is when we put trees in, will they be installed with a sprinkler to keep them green? We need to look at other expenses as well, such as insurance.

District Comment: Understanding that this is a five-year implementation period, there are a couple different options. Right now, there may not be great infrastructure for the bike share program. One option is to not include it now and if things change over the five years, add it back in. Alternatively, we can look at adding it now and not prioritizing it for implementation until later in the cycle.

The CSC reached consensus to move the car share program to green, but move bike share measure to red. The next measure discussed was large clean fuel infrastructure, which focuses on clean truck deployment within the community.

Question: Does this measure imply that it is only at the Port?

District Response: This type of infrastructure would support any clean trucks that would fuel in the region.

Comment: We don't have a lot of information about how big the emissions from these measures will be and I think we need to wait for more information so we can evaluate them.

District Response: There is a lot of information that is still being gathered. As a reminder, just because a measure is listed in the CERP that does not mean it is definitively going to get done. If the latest information challenges the original goals, the CSC will be able to adjust accordingly during implementation.

Comment: It is an extremely important measure that we ensure that kind of fuel and electric plug-ins are available, whether it is at the Port or at every truck stop.

Comment: Having been RTD's Director of Planning until a year ago, despite how many electric buses are out there, they are still working on getting the gold standard reliability of charging. This infrastructure needs another year or two to get standardized before we put a lot of money behind it.

Comment: The CSC needs to consider that we have scarce incentive funding. I would love to see the District step forward and do a presentation on Carl Moyer, which funds a lot of infrastructure and heavy duty equipment.

District Response: We are always interested in providing that kind of information. There are some concerns about the way the money from the state will be distributed; it is often first come, first serve.

The CSC reached consensus on moving large clean fuel infrastructure to green. Erica asked the CSC to review the red column and discuss if any of the items could be moved to green. The CSC agreed to keep all items in the red column and only discuss the items in the yellow column.

Comment: I think all the items in red are extremely important, but we are hesitant to move them because we are hoping there is other grant money available.

Port of Stockton Comment: We are in the process of doing an emissions inventory at the Port and I received a draft copy last week. The marine emissions are 4-5 times higher than anything else on there. I am hoping to share these findings with the group in the future.

The next measure discussed was related to replacing wood burning fireplaces, stoves, and inserts.

Comment: It would be nice to see the data on how many units the District replaces each year.

District Response: Fresno and Kern County are hot spot counties with increased incentive funding and, as a result, we see a higher level of participation in the program in this county. A higher incentive amount could lead to higher levels of participation in Stockton as well, which will help reduce localized PM2.5 emissions.

Comment: As part of TCC, there is an organization that is doing home weatherization and retrofits; they may be able to help out with this.

Comment: This issue is important because our air quality has been in the no-burn section for many days.

Comment: I think the wood burning stove is a good bang for the buck. This is a great way to impact people right in their homes.

The CSC reached consensus to move wood burning fireplace, stoves, and inserts into green. The next measure discussed was trains and other rail equipment operating in the community.

Port of Stockton Comment: As much as I can get from the technical advisory committee, I will share. It will show data for all categories in this column.

The CSC reached consensus to keep trains and other rail equipment in the red column. The CSC decided not to move forward with discussing trucks and other heavy duty equipment operating at the port until getting additional data and speaking with the port at the next meeting. The next measure discussed was truck idling plug-ins.

Comment: I thought truck idling was one of our larger issues, especially in Boggs Tract. I didn't realize it was specific to TRUs. I think this would be an important issue for us.

District Response: Generally, these are a bank of plugs that can be used by 20-30 trucks that are queued up. It allows them to operate their TRUs. Overall, these are not super costly.

The CSC reached consensus to move truck idling plug-ins to green.

At the end of the meeting, the sorting assignments were as follows:

Green (in progress)	Yellow	Red
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vegetative Barriers (Measure #1) 2. Trees and Urban Greening (Measure # 2) 3. Air Filtration in Schools (Measure #4) 4. Bike Paths and Infrastructure (Measure #5) 5. Trucks (Measure #6) 6. Charging Stations for Electric Vehicles (Measure #7) 7. Training for Electric Vehicle Mechanics (Measure #8) 8. New Electric Vehicles, Plug-in EVs, and Home Chargers for Residents (Measure #11) 9. Repair Cars to Pass Smog Check (Measure #14) 10. Air Filtration in Homes (Measure #22) 11. Home Weatherization, Solar and Electrification (Measure #23) 12. Truck Routes (Measure #3) 13. Replace Commercial Lawn Care Equipment (Measure #19) 14. Replace Home Lawn Care Equipment (Measure #20) 15. Parklets, Pocket Parks, Traffic Calming Measures (Measure #25) 16. Car Share Program (Measure #15) 17. Large Clean Fuel Infrastructure (Measure #10) 18. Replace Wood Burning Fireplace, Stoves and Inserts (Measure #17) 19. Truck Idling Plug Ins (new - (Measure #26) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Trucks and Other Heavy Duty Equipment Operating at the Port (Measure #13) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. School Buses (Measure #9) 2. Trains and Other Rail Equipment Operating in the Community (Measure #12) 3. Tug Boats (Measure #16) 4. Marine Exhaust (Measure #21) 5. Electric Bike Share Program (Measure #18)

CAMP Subcommittee

Jon Klassen, Strategies and Incentives, District

Jon highlighted the CAMP subcommittee process. Presentation highlights included:

- The District has been working out logistics on monitoring locations
- The mobile air monitoring van has been deployed to Washington Elementary School
- The van will continue to visit the zones the CSC recommended
- The District would like to work with the CSC to form a subcommittee and get specific recommendations on properties and locations of each zone
- The District will work with subcommittee to refine the language in the CAMP

Wrap Up/Next Steps

Erica Manuel, Facilitator & CEO, ILG

Erica thanked the CSC for their time and productivity despite the virtual process. She also thanked Irene for co-hosting. Irene thanked everyone for their patience and extra time while finishing the measure sorting.

Reminders

The next CSC meeting is January 19 on Zoom. All the presentations, meetings highlights, transcripts and the Zoom meeting recording will be posted online.