

Measure	Concerns	Suggestions	Questions/Add'l Discussion	Status Based on CSC Feedback
<p>2. TREES AND URBAN GREENING</p> <p>Overall, CSC supports this measure. Some implementation concerns.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long term maintenance trust • Quantifying benefits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include Hazelton Ave • Consider planting from seeds • Increase number of sites • Connect with Caltrans 		Green
<p>3. TRUCK ROUTES</p> <p>CSC supports and wants to ensure focus around Port and through Boggs Tract.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Don't use money for another study • Line up with the air monitoring results • Port actions on this • Quantifiable goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could this money be used to actually add speed bumps and signs directing trucks rather than just studying? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supporting information is appreciated • CLARIFY to establish what the money is needed for • What "access means" • Please ask the county's consultant to give an update on the Boggs Tract Sustainability Plan 	Green
<p>4. AIR FILTRATION IN SCHOOLS</p> <p>CSC supports for schools within AB 617 boundary.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prioritize older schools first • Consider health benefits and use monitoring data to show that 33 schools are in need 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For schools near transportation corridors • Public and private schools • Should cover full cost for schools to transition as well as support for ongoing maintenance • Oldest school building should get it first 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are these schools in the boundaries? • Is this upgrading the system plus a supply of filters? • Needs more detail on where the money goes. 	Green
<p>5. BIKE PATHS AND INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>CSC supports and wants to see money is spent on projects that provide connectivity and safety, do not overlap, and are based on recent studies.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Don't spend money on planning • Ensure safety 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considered Van Buskirk Golf Course • Matching funds for safe routes to school, bicycle helmets, safety education • Connect with other projects (TCC) and infrastructure to maximize the benefit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Share the list of potential projects 	Green
<p>6. TRUCKS</p> <p>CSC supports and wants to focus on zero emission truck only.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How do we ensure 50 trucks are part of the local solution • Need robust charging infrastructure too 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Zero emission vs near zero emission • Who should pay this cost 	Green

Measure	Concerns	Suggestions	Questions/Add'l Discussion	Status Based on CSC Feedback
<p>7. CHARGING STATIONS FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES</p> <p>Overall, CSC supports this measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long term solution • This should be an ongoing program as we transition from fossil fuels to electrification 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electric upgrade for residents • Outreach to business • Assistance with accessing incentive 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where is VW settlement funding being spent? • Fees and fines from local facilities? • Other sources of money? 	<p>Green</p>
<p>8. TRAINING FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLE MECHANICS</p> <p>Overall, CSC supports this measure and suggests adding more trainings and establishing more concrete goals.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two mechanics trainings is not enough to keep up with growth in EVs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More trainings • Connect with Delta College vocational training • Check on feasibility at SJDC or find the nearest opportunity to be available for potential residents of our area • Spend on a "graduate" stipend, including tuition for Delta College electrical technical program (\$1800/mo) • It seems cost efficient to invest more here and bring green jobs to the AB 617 community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of trainings • Concrete details • What would this money be used for? (Scholarships, hiring staff, etc.) Is it enough? 	<p>Green</p>
<p>9. SCHOOL BUSES</p> <p>CSC questions 'bang for the buck', availability of other funding and COVID's shifting priorities. CSC may not want to include in CERP.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other heavy equipment programs can deliver more bang for the buck • Buses are still used in the community? Be clear on need before spending so much money • More immediate Covid-19 needs? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School lists. Need emergency charging opportunities • Maybe check on disabled buses • Invest in more immediate needs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many of these buses are in use in the community? • Are there other grants to access? 	<p>Red</p>
<p>10. LARGE CLEAN FUEL INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>CSC support installation of large clean fuel infrastructure, but needs more discussion regarding types of fuel.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Don't spend on planning • No incentivizing dirty forms of upstream energy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blend into HD.1 • Planning here should include partnering with the City of Stockton to update the Climate Action Plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is "clean fuel" here? <i>Need to clearly define</i> • Program is vague 	<p>Yellow</p>

Measure	Concerns	Suggestions	Questions/Add'l Discussion	Status Based on CSC Feedback
<p>11. NEW ELECTRIC VEHICLES, PLUG-IN EVs, AND HOME CHARGERS FOR RESIDENTS</p> <p>CSC supports program.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investments stay in the AB 617 area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include assistance to homeowners in finding rebate Target AB 617 area investment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timeframe Program details Metrics 	<p>Green</p>
<p>12. TRAINS AND OTHER RAIL EQUIPMENT OPERATING IN THE COMMUNITY</p> <p>While CSC recognizes emission reduction potential, cost and who picks up the bill is a concern. Need more discussion on benefits.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why does CSC have to pick up the bill? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use other grant options first Port has to do that 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timeframe What is estimated emission reduction? 	<p>Red</p>
<p>13. TRUCKS AND OTHER HEAVY DUTY EQUIPMENT OPERATING AT THE PORT</p> <p>Despite the concerns, CSC recognizes an opportunity with this strategy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only zero emission, not near zero Port needs to be involved Large operations should pay for it 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equipment primarily used in Stockton; vehicles that will be in area >75% of time Money only goes to low income independent owners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timeframe, how many? What is emission reduction? 	<p>Yellow</p>
<p>14. REPAIR CARS TO PASS SMOG CHECK</p> <p>CSC supports program and wants to further target it in AB 617 community and potentially increase the incentive.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How effective is this program? \$500 does not cover smog repair cost Limited to certain mechanics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace 1999 and newer vehicles Host the program in smaller communities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aren't existing programs adequate? Metrics Provide summaries of existing programs 	<p>Green</p>

Measure	Concerns	Suggestions	Questions/Add'l Discussion	Status Based on CSC Feedback
<p>15. CAR SHARE PROGRAM</p> <p>CSC supports further discussion of joining forces with SJCOG and HACSJ to expand car sharing grant to provide super low cost car share for residents in AB 617.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transit could be harmed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ability to rent 4WD for winter sports The vehicle should be a truck--can reach more people since everybody needs a truck Get the pilot first then expand 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What does it cover? Where the money is going to? What's the emission reduction? Strictly for AB 617 residents? 	<p>Yellow</p>
<p>16. TUG BOATS</p> <p>More discussion needs to occur regarding other resources and the port being responsible for financing and implementing this.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Port & operators should pay for it Port should seek funding and cover the cost CSC is not in position to determine what port needs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relocate money Compare benefits to other programs first 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to know port's operations 	<p>Red</p>
<p>17. REPLACE WOOD BURNING FIREPLACE, STOVES AND INSERTS</p> <p>There is some skepticism about this measure. Need more information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If all electric is not an option, use money elsewhere Residents will never convert from wood and will resent the mandates 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be all electric Enforcement should focus on assistance with options to replace 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Program metrics and impact How much each type are and how much does the resident pay or is it based on need/income? 	<p>Yellow</p>
<p>18. ELECTRIC BIKE SHARE PROGRAM</p> <p>CSC likes this measure in general. Safety is a big concern.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety Don't use money for a study 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include update from SJCOG with status of their grant and overlap 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What about road diets? 	<p>Yellow</p>
<p>19. REPLACE COMMERCIAL LAWN CARE EQUIPMENT</p> <p>CSC is concerned with ensuring that the equipment stays in the area and questions why commercial is prioritized over residential.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure these stay within the boundary (at least 75%) Isn't it better to fund residential? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use existing funds Shift funds to community used equipment rather than commercial used If commercial, fund small businesses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How much each type are and how much does the resident pay or is it based on need/income? 	<p>Green</p>

Measure	Concerns	Suggestions	Questions/Add'l Discussion	Status Based on CSC Feedback
<p>20. REPLACE HOME LAWN CARE EQUIPMENT</p> <p>It's a mix of high and low priority ranking. CSC prioritizes effectiveness, AB 617 boundary, direct benefits to residents and lower electric bills.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to stay in the boundary • People will resent mandates • Will replacement increase electric bill higher? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Education is an important piece • Flip allocation so residents get most of the funding • What about assistance with rooftop solar for residents? It might be a good option instead 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are we replacing to electric? 	<p>Green</p>
<p>21. MARINE EXHAUST</p> <p>One member recognizes this strategy as "good to reduce stationary pollution sources." Majority of a few comments received suggest the port should pay for it.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port should pay for clean-up, especially if it's required by regulation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re-allocate funds • Partner funds for feasibility study that assesses emission reduction and ability to implement. 		<p>Red</p>
<p>22. AIR FILTRATION IN HOMES</p> <p>This is a very important, high priority measure for CSC. Members want to see a more data driven approach, increased funding and ensure that the most vulnerable benefit from this strategy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure protection of residents living closest to major polluting sources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use fines from polluters in the area to create a fund to support long term • Should be done in conjunction with proper health studies • Could use some data analysis • Income and <i>need</i> determines eligibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can someone use GIS to analyze how many homes and sensitive sites are within danger zones? Or what is the unit allocation estimated from? 	<p>Green</p>
<p>23. HOME WEATHERIZATION AND ELECTRIFICATION</p> <p>CSC supports this measure and wants to maximize partnerships.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that funding benefits people living in the community • Since PG&E already provides these benefits, this should not be an AB 617 project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partner up with CPUC, Grid Alternative, and other agencies • Use funds from fines to support long term • Focus on solar rooftops 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What would 100% rooftop solar look like in these charts? • Clarify what constitutes electrification 	<p>Green</p>
<p>NEW. TRUCK IDLING PLUG INS</p> <p>CSC member new suggestion needs discussion.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need research and responsible parties 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<p>Yellow</p>

Measure	Concerns	Suggestions	Questions/Add'l Discussion	Status Based on CSC Feedback
<p>NEW. PARKLETS, POCKET PARKS, TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURE</p> <p>CSC member new suggestion needs discussion.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need research and responsible parties 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<p>Green</p>