

AB 617 Fresno Community Steering Committee - Meeting #5
April 10, 2019, 5:30 p.m. – 7:30 p.m.
East Fresno Boys and Girls Club

1. Doors Open/Meet and Greet/Refreshments

2. Welcome and Brief Review

Jessica Luternauer, Facilitator

Jessica mentioned that to ensure efficient use of time, questions and comments will be at the end of each presentation.

3. Community Air Monitoring Plan Development

Jon Klassen, Program Director of Strategies and Incentives

Jon gave a presentation on the development of the community air monitoring plan for South Central Fresno. This included the required elements in the plan as specified by AB 617 and the CARB blueprint. He gave an overview of the work the District has done with respect to air monitoring in South Central Fresno, challenges, and potential actions that the District is considering with input from the community. He concluded with a few questions from the steering committee and asked for feedback.

Summary of Feedback from Posters:

- Will you be coming back with a proposed plan in two weeks?
- What is the line item budget for equipment acquisition?
- Will you be monitoring at Federal standards?
- Will all stations be monitoring for everything?
- Will the info be available in other languages?
- What is the timeline for implementation?
- Spell out acronyms
- More guidance on what to measure
- We should establish a sub-committee that meets more regularly
- What are PM2.5 filters?
- Is full-time or filter-based more expensive?
- Can the trailer monitor a large area?
- Is there potential to have a large area covered by a monitor in SW Fresno?
- Have you linked any of this to public health data? (Pre-term births, etc.)
- How has historical data been used?
 - In South Fresno for land use policy?
- Is there a sensor at Edison or other high schools?
- How often are instruments calibrated?
- How much area does monitoring van cover? Is one enough?
- What are the other options?
- District needs to look at permanent installations for air monitors
- What level of increase over what time would be actionable to install permanent monitor?
- Are there only two models for PM2.5 monitoring?
- What are the Air District's priorities regarding current health impacts?

- Is the real-time reporting delayed?
- Are there data points that are missing?
- How long do we keep the van or trailer in one place?
- Is the initial phase going to be used as a baseline?
- Are we in non-attainment for all of this?
- What help would you give kids in Malaga impacted by truck/trail traffic?
- What is the best use of the van?
- Support statements of Dr. Venise Curry
- If you're using mobile monitors, how will you establish a baseline?
- Why isn't the goal to establish new regulations?
- More sub-committee meetings
- Support Janelle's comments regarding PM2.5 monitoring in SW Fresno
- Important to have real-time PM2.5 data in South Fresno
- We need stationary monitors to set a baseline
- Start with where pollution is
- Toxics monitoring on Central and 99 (facility not reporting the extent of toxics)
- Diesel monitoring
- Language access (data, information)
- What is the duration of the monitoring campaign?
- What is the recommended monitoring timeframe for effective measurement?
- How will we be sure that mobile monitors are measuring PM2.5?
- Stationary monitors are more reliable, in my opinion
- What will be done to reduce existing contamination in Fresno?
- Cancer, asthma, diabetes are most important
- Air District should provide a better breakdown of pollutants
- Have to have at least one federally-regulated monitor in industrial triangle
- Sensitive receptors – schools, clinics, etc., will want air monitors
- Amazon and Ulta are highly-lit and highly-secure
- Support for sub-committee meetings
- On-boarding should be open to all committee members
- Areas in our boundaries that can be impacted by pesticides
- Are you working with TCC to augment data?
- It doesn't look like you have enough monitors, seems like you might need three times as much
- I think we need to have more permanent monitors
- To give effective input we need to know the priorities/targets
- We need a priority list of what we're trying to mitigate
- Two to three PM2.5 monitors seems low, may need to be six
- Is diesel monitoring separate from PM2.5 monitoring?
- When are they expected to come online?
- Suggest map with emission sources for new boundaries be provided
- Southwest is a little forgotten, it's contaminated
- Dairy near Ventura emits smoke
- Smells from Mid Valley Disposal reach me sometimes
- We have enough information to begin the planning process
- Why aren't we moving forward more aggressively?
- Need second committee to identify gaps in monitoring

- We need assets on the ground quickly

4. Continuing Technical Assessment Update

New Maps: Brian Clements, Program Manager

Brian provided a presentation on the inventory in South Central Fresno, going over the extensive emissions information that is currently available on the District's website specific to South Central Fresno.

CARB update on mobile and area source inventories: Alejandra Cervantes

Alejandra from CARB discussed CARB's approach to developing localized mobile source and area source emissions for South Central Fresno.

Summary of Feedback from Posters:

- Consider adding County Department of Public Health data to map
- Markers are not sized based on emissions
- Size markers according to amount of emissions
- Is the CARB data available everywhere?

5. Draft CERP Outline Review

Jessica Coria, Senior Air Quality Specialist

Jessica provided a brief overview of the CERP outline due to limited time available, including what a CERP is and the associated components. She requested that the steering committee go over the full presentation, think about some of the questions at the end of the presentation, and submit any questions and comments to the District.

Summary of Feedback from Posters:

- Schools are not mentioned in CERP outline, can you add?

6. Wrap-up and Next Steps

Jessica Luternauer, Facilitator

Due to limited time available, Jessica suggested to move straight to public comments.

7. Public Comment

- Permanent PM2.5 monitor near Edison
- Glad to hear about fence-line monitoring
- I wish this process moved more quickly
- I don't understand technology, but I understand that this is moving slowly
- I want my grandkids to have a cleaner environment
- This area is bad inside and bad outside
- New monitors are needed to identify hot spots
- Pesticides should be added to list
- Committee should discuss federally-regulated v. community air monitoring
- What is the Air District's approach to the process?

- Meetings at 6 p.m.
- Presentations from 3-5 minutes long
- Want to move meetings back to 5:30

**Refer to meeting audio and video to review the full details and comments from the meeting.*